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1 Introduction

The following excerpt from Sairusi Bulai’s opening remarks at the Pacific Regional Forestry Technical Meeting gives the context in which the meeting took place:

In response to the 2008 Heads of Agriculture and Forestry Services (HOAFS) Meeting recommendation, which was endorsed by the Ministers of Agriculture and Forestry (MOAF) and also the Council of Regional Governments and Administrations (CRGA) Meetings of the same year, SPC through its Land Resources Division organised in September 2009 in Nadi, Fiji, its first Heads of Forestry Meeting since the decision was made in 2003 to cease separate sectoral meetings for agriculture and forestry.

In line with the above, we had, last year, started to make arrangements to organise our second meeting this year. Unfortunately, SPC CRGA in its meeting late last year endorsed a recommendation, reinforcing the 2003 decision, for all sectoral meetings to be discontinued from this year. But with 2011 being declared by the UN as the International Year of Forests, and given the recommendations by both the 2009 HOFS and the 2010 HOAFS for SPC to organise a number of regional activities to celebrate the year, it was considered essential that a meeting of some sort be organised to be the highlight of our celebration and to discuss HOFs issues for consideration at the 2012 HOAFS. It was with this in mind that the idea of a regional forestry technical meeting was conceived.

In this context, the first day of the meeting was dedicated to REDD+ (Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation). Current initiatives concerning REDD+ are the UN REDD Programme supported by FAO, UNDP and UNEP, a JICA support to MRV and the BMU-funded regional programme “Climate Protection through Forest Conservation in the Pacific Island Countries” implemented jointly by SPC and GIZ.

The agenda for the first day was as follows: 1,2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.10 – 10.30</td>
<td>Presentation + discussion of outcomes of the Pre-meeting REDD+ workshop</td>
<td>Ben Vickers (UN REDD Consultant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.30 – 11.00</td>
<td>Introduction to Regional REDD+ Policy Framework</td>
<td>Sairusi Bulai (SPC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.00 – 11.30</td>
<td>Regional information + knowledge management services</td>
<td>Vinesh Pradesh (SPC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.30 – 1.00</td>
<td>Group discussion (break out into 3 groups) Topics to discuss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Regional REDD+ Policy Framework content</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Regional REDD+ Policy Framework roadmap and steering structure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Design of Regional REDD+ information &amp; knowledge management system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00 – 2.00</td>
<td>L U N C H B R E A K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00 – 3.00</td>
<td>Presentation of group work and discussion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00 – 3.30</td>
<td>Construction of biomass allometric models for Pacific</td>
<td>Hitofumi Abe JICA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.30 – 4.00</td>
<td>Afternoon Tea</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.00-4.30</td>
<td>REDD+ recommendations of the Pacific Regional Forestry Technical Meeting to the HOAFS 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 The details of the opening ceremony that preceded the matters reported in the present document are neglected here.

2 The full agenda is in annex 5
2 Introductory presentations

Ben Vickers, consultant for the UN REDD Programme, summarised the outcomes of the pre-meeting workshop held on September 19th-20th. The pre-meeting workshop was meant to allow deeper understanding of the REDD+ issues especially for those countries that until the present time had not been very involved in such discussions and have not yet undertaken any steps towards REDD+ readiness. The summary presented to the workshop by the programme’s consultant can be found in annex 1 of the present report while the more detailed report is in annex 6.

The remainder of the day concentrated on two themes: The Regional REDD+ Policy Framework (requested by the HOFS meeting in 2009), more specifically its content and the process of getting the policy framework done (roadmap) and the establishment of a regional information and knowledge management system. The following two presentations gave the participants sufficient material to then split up into groups and discuss details and formulate recommendations.

Sairusi Bulai, SPC Land Resources Division, presented a first set of issues that might be dealt with in the policy framework as well as an initial draft of a roadmap. (See annex 2)

Vinesh Prasad, SPC Land Resources Division) presented a conceptual overview of potential elements for a regional information and knowledge management system. (See annex 3)

The group sessions were organised around three topics:

1. Regional REDD+ Policy Framework content
2. Regional REDD+ Policy Framework roadmap and steering structure
3. Design of Regional REDD+ information & knowledge management system

The splitting up of topics 1 and 2 proved to be problematic since the roadmap discussions are strongly influenced by decisions of what is supposed to be in the policy framework. Some crossover communication was organized to alleviate this issue. However, further discussions were necessary after the group session to reconcile the outcomes.

3 Group work outcomes on Regional REDD+ Policy Framework content

Group 1 formulated the following items as important to be included in the policy framework. No priorities were set as it was felt that all were important. The presentation follows in the first section discussions from the pre-meeting workshop on regional drivers and possible regional responses. The other section headings are taken from the introductory presentation made by Sairusi Bulai. These were then further detailed during the group discussions.

Regional Drivers of Deforestation and Degradation…and Leakage

- Difficult to control leakage due to land tenure system
- Migration between countries – displacement of labour
- Agricultural expansion – cash crops / tree crops
- Demand for raw materials in Asia

Regional Cooperation

- Information sharing between countries/sharing of expertise
  - Free movement of labour in the region and regional trade agreement (MSG)
  - Identify, reduce/minimise obstacles to free sharing of information and movement of expertise
  - Knowledge feedback mechanism
  - Regional training support facility
  - Collaboration among research and education institutions in the region; Coordinated policy approach to higher education in forestry
Experiences from FSC national initiatives
Experience from FLEGT Voluntary Partnership Agreements

Integration of other Pacific policies and initiatives

- Mainstreaming existing regional policies to avoid contradictory regulations, and create synergies
  - PIFACC
  - Food Security
  - Regional Cultural Strategy (includes FPIC)
  - Regional Biodiversity Strategy
  - Regional Energy Strategy

MRV (provision of services)

- Outsourcing MRV capacities on the regional level to serve other countries
  - Regional roster of experts to share manpower and expertise – a CROP agency can facilitate, but countries have to manage availability of their experts for this exchange programme
  - Regional verification support system
  - Provision of MRV services should include capacity building

MRV (methodology)

- Agree on a common approach to MRV so that regional services can be supplied efficiently
  - Align GHG MRV with existing national methodologies and definitions
  - Explore opportunities to harmonise MRV at regional level (example of coconut)
  - Enhance Pacific influence in international MRV methodology discussions by highlighting regional achievements

REL/RL methodology development

- Provision of service through common approach to REL/RL
  - Access to satellite imagery – can also benefit smaller countries
  - Technical support to national level in developing the methodologies

Safeguards monitoring

- Methodology for monitoring must include social and environmental criteria to report on the UNFCCC safeguards
  - National issue to define safeguards
  - REDD+ SES (Social and Environmental Standards) – common regional indicators
  - Regional assistance for monitoring
  - Lessons from FSC national initiatives and FLEGTP VPAs

International engagement of the Pacific Region

- Common preparation for international fora, strengthening Pacific and AOSIS positions
  - Negotiation training for Pacific delegates
  - Forestry delegates to feedback to regional forestry sector as well as their own countries
Regional preparatory meetings on REDD+, coordinating with SPREP

Strengthen Pacific voice in international alliances – AOSIS, CfRN

Support from regional forestry networks to build awareness of REDD+ for negotiators in smaller countries

Regional REDD+ communications strategy

The following section is the result of the reconciliation between the outcomes of Groups 1 and 2 as described below under Group 2 outcomes. A more refined version of the ideas contained here can be seen in the annex 4 (REDD+ recommendations of the Regional Forestry Technical Meeting to the HOAFS meeting 2012).

Summary of prioritisation discussion

- Policy framework is to deliver guidance soon to countries in need of it
- Issues where countries need guidance are mainly MRV methodology, REL/RL methodology, safeguards monitoring tools/methodology
- Methodology will be done in such detail as to give guidance, not by developing full blown detailed methodologies
- Also to be included is the communication/collaboration/information complex and maybe the basics of a regional communication strategy
- Policy framework will address shared capacities and services in conceptual manner with some principles, no more detail than that
- Safeguards are largely national issues
- Any training and services can and should be set up as needed, no need to wait for the policy framework
- This is feasible and will be presented to HOAFS 2012.
- If at that meeting, HOAFS thinks there is need for more issues being dealt with in detail, that can be done then while already endorsing what is presented in 2012

4 Group work outcomes on Regional REDD+ Policy Framework roadmap and steering structure

The discussion in Group 2 quickly centred on the issue of the ultimate deadline for the endorsement of the policy framework by a HOAFS meeting. Since these are held only every two years the options were limited: either try to produce a policy framework until September 2012 or for the meeting 2014. The latter was considered far too late to achieve what the policy framework was originally intended for, namely to give guidance to those member countries who needed it when embarking on their national REDD+ readiness path (especially the small and medium sized countries). Therefore, the group decided to work on the first option, namely to submit to the HOAFS meeting in September 2012 a policy framework, fully realizing that the limited time would not allow for as much wide consultation as would be ideal as well as limiting the document to only priority issues.

The group also proposed that a steering structure in form of a drafting committee (the exact name was not determined) should be struck during the current Forestry Technical Meeting. Two countries from each group of countries - small, medium and large - should be chosen and these countries should then supply names and contact details for their chosen representatives. By the end of the Forestry Technical Meeting, these names should be communicated to all participants.

Group 2 presented to the plenary session the following timetable while making the caveat that all dates were indicative except for the submission date to the HOAFS meeting itself. The SPC/GIZ Project Manager committed himself to shifting resources in order to allow for a maximum of quality within the short timeframe available.
### To be completed date
Dates are indicative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>To be completed date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultant hired by SPC/GIZ and given ToR with limited consultation</td>
<td>Oct 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadmap and Background paper addressing priority areas ready for circulation</td>
<td>31 January 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study tour to PICs for information from PICs relevant to a Regional REDD+ Policy Framework including local priorities and preferences, other related national programmes and initiatives. Remote consultations for some PICs</td>
<td>15 February to 15 April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional consultations meeting with all member countries, only one country representative. Countries will have to organize themselves to give proper guidance to their representative.</td>
<td>30 April 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrate meeting findings into draft, and then circulate to PICs</td>
<td>20 May 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>countries to give written submissions</td>
<td>Until 5 July 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>further draft of regional policy framework of priority issues</td>
<td>20 July 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration of other policies: consultation with other CROP agencies</td>
<td>Ongoing during the process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drafting committee meets to endorse final draft</td>
<td>20 August 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>presentation to HOAFS for endorsement</td>
<td>September 2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The submission date to the HOAFS meeting 2012 is the only date in this proposal that must be met exactly. The SPC/GIZ Project Manager requested some flexibility in the other dates in order to be able to achieve this ambitious goal.

Since Group 1 had not set any priorities, a small group was struck that analysed (during the tea break) options for setting priorities without diminishing the importance of the proposed content for the policy framework. This was guided by the original idea of the policy framework, namely to give guidance to those countries that need it. This leads to consider all methodological work (MRV, REL/RL, safeguards monitoring) susceptible to at least a degree of regional harmonization. In order for this to be feasible in the targeted timeframe, the policy framework must deliver enough detail to effectively give guidance to member countries without going into fully detailed methodological descriptions. Furthermore, a clear regional policy framework must include guidance on information sharing, communication as well as collaboration. As for regional support services, the policy framework should give only basic principles. It was clearly stated and agreed that no shared service delivery or capacity building needs to wait for the final formulation of the policy framework. Work on these items that has started or is envisioned should proceed as planned.

These ideas effectively allow the results of Group 1 to be prioritized in a manner that will allow the SPC’GIZ programme to proceed with the process of designing and formulating the policy framework in the given time frame. It was agreed to make clear to all that this “priority” policy framework could accepted as the final policy framework if deemed to give enough guidance, or the HOAFS meeting 2012 might consider that some issues need further detail. In the latter case, it was agreed that this should not keep the HOAFS meeting 2012 from endorsing the “priority” policy framework. It could be either become a “living” document or a second expanded version could be produced. Further discussion on this issue is not necessary at the moment.
5 Group work outcomes on design of regional REDD+ information & knowledge management system

Group 3 worked on a number of questions the answers to which would be helpful in designing an information and knowledge management system that responds to member countries’ needs as well as to the specific circumstances of internet access and speed as well as other factors that influence the quality of information systems. The following is the presentation given to the plenary session on Group 3 findings:

1. How many climate change websites or blogs do you follow?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Websites</th>
<th>Information</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Feedback</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Links via SPC                                 | • updates           | • As per updates – mailing list        | • French translation  
| FAO                                           |                     |                                         | • Provide links: should be accessible by the countries  
| REDD monitor                                  |                     |                                         | • Add to RFR Net                                                       |
| UN REDD                                       |                     |                                         |                                                                          |
| REDD Net                                      |                     |                                         |                                                                          |
| REDD+ Partnership Eco                         |                     |                                         |                                                                          |

2. Do you have any bad experiences in dealing with the media suggested?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Media</th>
<th>Problems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Internet</td>
<td>Slow speed, costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio</td>
<td>Coverage (reception), content to be simple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print/Publication</td>
<td>Quality, weather problems, transportation,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>translation (simplification of technical terms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kind of lose some meaning)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People Champions</td>
<td>Information get Distorted, People look at the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>person then the person</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. What level of information to be provided to which category of clients etc.? What will be the best medium for us in the Pacific to get up to date information?
   a) Who are our clients – target audience?
   b) What media type is more reliable?
   c) Which media will be more appealing and easily managed by our clients?
   d) What can be easily kept for later reference, how is it stored (paper, electronic files, etc.)?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clients</th>
<th>Communication medium</th>
<th>Type of information</th>
<th>Level of information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Policy makers</td>
<td>E-mail/prints, internet</td>
<td>Policy briefs, policy framework, REDD+ readiness processes, road maps</td>
<td>Top priorities (high)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managers</td>
<td>Internet, print, meetings/workshops</td>
<td>Climate change, technical information (MRV), REDD+ Readiness process</td>
<td>General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technicians/Foresters, civil societies</td>
<td>Internet, prints, face to face, training workshops</td>
<td>Training manuals, field manuals</td>
<td>Specific technical information, e.g. MRV methodology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community level</td>
<td>National programmes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mayor outcomes of the 2011 Pacific Forestry Technical Meeting are reflected in the REDD+ recommendations made by the meeting’s participants to the HOAFS meeting 2012. (See annex 4)
ANNEXES
Annex 1 Summary of outcomes of Pre-meeting REDD+ Workshop

Summary of workshop: Pacific Regional Approach on REDD+ Readiness

Ben Vickers
Lead Technical Consultant
UNDP UN-REDD Tier 2 Project, Pacific
20 September 2011, Nadi, Fiji
Contact: ben.vickers@silverstrum.com

Workshop process
- Introduction to REDD+ and REDD+ Readiness
- Progress with national level REDD+ Readiness programmes in the Pacific to date
- Civil society involvement in REDD+ programme development
- Donor agency updates on REDD+ Readiness
- Briefing on regional approaches to MRV
- Summary of UN-REDD report on Regional REDD+ Readiness Support Strategy in the Pacific
- Group discussions and presentations on regional approach to REDD+ Readiness

Feedback on report
- General endorsement, but leaves many relevant issues aside
- Cultural importance of land
- Land use planning issues
- More practical suggestions for accessing benefits for small countries and for local communities
- Clear in its broad scope but short on detail
- Appetite for more in-depth regional studies and discussions

Annexes

Gaps in addressing regional drivers of Defor/Deg
- Regional response to forest governance issues
  - Discussing forest land tenure arrangements
  - Encouraging forest land demarcation, cultural resistance: tendency to solve disputes internally, avoid conflict
- Internal drivers
  - Demographic issues – urbanisation and migration
  - Demand for agricultural land: cash crops, construction materials
- External drivers
  - Asian demand for raw materials: mining

Gaps in addressing issues of concern to smaller countries
- Vegetation mapping: more powerful imaging required for coconut
- Management skills of local people
- Mangroves as traditional methods of coastal zone protection
- Resources to address invasive species
- Control of fire
- Large-scale protection strategies (conservation, watershed management)

How can a Regional REDD+ Readiness strategy help?
- Regional training centre
  - Forest inventory, remote sensing (Location?)
  - Enhanced and shared capacities
  - Generate expertise and info relevant to region
- Regional REDD+ platform
  - Will also benefit territories
  - Countries in control, through CROP agency
  - Include real world interaction

The more that smaller countries are aware of ongoing REDD+ activities, the better they will be able to decide how to get involved
How can a regional REDD+ Readiness strategy help?

- Raise global awareness of coconuts as a forestry issue
- Bring foresters to the forefront of REDD+ discussions
- Promote REDD+ as a climate change adaptation strategy — mangrove restoration
- Access to satellite imagery and analysis

SOPAC’s work is highly appreciated as an essential part of land use planning as well as a precondition for carbon inventory.

How can a regional REDD+ Readiness strategy help?

- Leverage support for improved satellite imagery across the region, for vegetation mapping
- Put existing conservation programmes into the context of regional REDD+ approach
  - Research into invasive species control
  - Coastal zone protection
  - Species inventory — biodiversity monitoring

A regional approach should provide guidance for small countries on the opportunities for forest sector support through REDD+ Readiness.

Outcome

As a result of the workshop, delegates from smaller PICs feel confident about becoming involved and participating effectively in regional and international discussions on REDD+ and climate change.

Thank you for listening!
Annex 2 Introduction to Regional REDD+ Policy Framework

INTRODUCTION

• 2009 HOFs Meeting made a number of recommendations for REDD
• In response SPC with support of GIZ submitted a proposal for funding under the German ICI
• One component in response to regional framework for REDD
• Need some clear guidance from HOFs on content, etc. of framework
• Presentation to propose some content ideas and draft development roadmap for discussions.

POSSIBLE CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regional drivers of deforestation &amp; degradation/leakage</td>
<td>Identify drivers of DD with impact on a regional level. How can they be addressed? How can leakage be addressed on a regional level?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional cooperation</td>
<td>Information and expertise sharing between PICT\s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration of other Pacific policies &amp; initiatives</td>
<td>Mainstreaming of existing regional policies to create synergies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRV provision of services</td>
<td>Outlining MRV capability on the regional level to serve the PICT\s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRV methodology</td>
<td>Agree on a common approach to facilitate efficient provision of regional services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REL methodology</td>
<td>Provision of service through common approach to develop national REL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safeguards monitoring</td>
<td>MRV methodology to include social and environmental criteria to report on UNFCCC safeguards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International engagement</td>
<td>Common preparation for international fora strengthening Pacific and AGFIS position</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DRAFT ROADMAP FOR DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STEPS</th>
<th>CONTENT/DETAIL</th>
<th>DEADLINE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Policy Framework Scoping</td>
<td>Regional REDD+ policy framework scoping paper: Desktop exercise based on discussions/recommendations of forestry technical meeting to frame issues &amp; themes relevant to development of framework</td>
<td>30/02/2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Option 2: Policy framework scoping meeting: Outputs from PICT focus relevant to framework including local priorities and preferences, other related national programmes and initiatives</td>
<td>10/03 - 30/07/2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Option 3: Policy framework consultation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DRAFT ROADMAP FOR DEVELOPMENT PROCESS (contd.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STEPS</th>
<th>CONTENT/DETAIL</th>
<th>DEADLINE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regional REDD+ Policy Framework</td>
<td>1st draft regional policy framework text: Preparation of 1st draft policy framework text and background report</td>
<td>30/08/2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discussion of 1st draft in connection with HOAFS meeting 2012: PICT\s delegates and key non-state stakeholders to review draft text and make recommendations for improvements &amp; refinements</td>
<td>30/09/2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Written submissions on the 1st draft regional policy framework text: Submissions prepared by each national REDD+ and/or climate change committee (key national non-state stakeholders)</td>
<td>28/02/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd draft regional policy framework text: Report on consensuses and summary of submissions &amp; associated analysis</td>
<td>30/06/2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DRAFT ROADMAP FOR DEVELOPMENT PROCESS (contd.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STEPS</th>
<th>CONTENT/DETAIL</th>
<th>DEADLINE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regional REDD+ Policy Framework (contd.)</td>
<td>Integration with other regional policies: Consultation with CRDP\s agencies (i.e. PIFS, SPC, SPREP, etc)</td>
<td>31/01/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drafting committee meeting*: Decide on final draft of the policy framework to be sent out for final approval by members</td>
<td>05/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Endorsement of regional policy framework: HOAFS Meeting</td>
<td>09/2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Drafting committee will be providing support throughout the development process.
Annex 3 Introduction to Regional information and knowledge management system

Regional Information Platform
Knowedge Management Services

Vinesh Prasad
Information & Communication Technology Assistant
Land Resources Division

The Age of Information

BACKGROUND
Objective 2: Regional REDD+ Information Platform

Summary

National Project Planning Meetings (Solomon Islands, PNG & Vanuatu)
1. Information on REDD+ projects
2. Virtual Library
   • Case studies
   • Basic Information
   • Documentary repository
   • Database
3. Different REDD+ design options
4. Directory of relevant institutions and experts dealing with REDD+
5. Topical discussion forums
6. Continuous update on the international discussion

Summary Continued
7. Directory of experts
8. Mailing list

Existing dissemination tools at SPC
LARD
• SPC website – general articles
• LRD Website – dedicated climate change page -
  http://www.spc.int/hrd/index.php?workoncon_dcursor&task=at_view&pid=
  3074&itemId=49
• List servers – mailing list – PAFNet & Regional Forest
  Rehabilitation Network (RFR Network)
• Printed materials from LRD – Policy briefs & Newsletter
• LRD Help Desk infohelpdesk@spc.int
• Frequent media article to create awareness
• Economic Blog http://lireconomics.wordpress.com/
Possible other tools

- Dedicated webpage on REDD+
  - Directory of experts and their services
  - Links to other sites & blogs
  - Virtual Library
- Dedicated list server (email service) with news link to documents and other relevant websites.
- Monthly Bulletin – to interested people
- Face book & Twitter
- Climate Change portal - (SPC/SPREP)
- RSS Feed

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How about this?</th>
<th>Existing tool</th>
<th>Proposed tool</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Information on REDD+ projects</td>
<td>LRD website</td>
<td>Menu point on REDD+ webpage within LRD website</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Directory of experts</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Menu point on REDD+ webpage within LRD website</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Repository</td>
<td>LRD website</td>
<td>Enable access for non-SRC staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Mailing list REDD+</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Establish and manage within LRD and link up with climate change team of SPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Database</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Establish and manage within LRD and link up with climate change team of SPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook &amp; Twitter</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Set up and appoint a dedicated moderator</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This can be shortened and amended in the group work, according to the criteria of relevance

---

SPREP portal on Climate Change

Under construction!

  This is in the process of being improved and the site will change to something more suitable like [www.pacificclimate.org](http://www.pacificclimate.org) or [www.pacificclimate.net](http://www.pacificclimate.net).
  We will inform users accordingly once this is done.

---

Thank You!

![Knowledge is POWER](http://www.spc.int/lrd)
Annex 4 Recommendations of the Pacific Regional Forestry Technical Meeting 21st to 23rd September 2011 concerning REDD+

A. Regional Framework on REDD

In recognition of the important role that forests plays in mitigation and adaptation to climate change particularly in reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation (REDD), the Meeting recommends:

1. A draft Regional REDD+ Policy framework to be presented at the Regional HOAIFS meeting in September 2012
2. As priorities to give guidance to member countries it is recommended to emphasize in the regional REDD+ policy framework:
   a. The identification of the regional drivers of deforestation and regional strategies to address leakage issues in the region
   b. Regional guidance on MRV methodology, REL/RL methodology, safeguards monitoring tools/methodology.
   c. Elements of regional open communication, collaboration and information sharing
   d. Identification of capacity building
3. Existing regional policies need to be considered in the draft regional REDD+ policy framework
4. Basic principles of the following regional support services should be stated in the regional REDD+ policy framework:
   a. The sharing and coordination of capacities, services, and expertise on REDD+ in the region
   b. Enhancement of existing regional facility to provide training and technical services on MRV
   c. Set up a regional roster of experts for REDD+. Countries have to manage the availability of their experts for exchange
   d. Establishment of a regional information and knowledge management system on REDD+
   e. Strengthening regional capacity in international engagement

The implementation of the above mentioned support services should begin without delay.
5. SPC to coordinate with SPREP to integrate REDD+ in regional preparatory meetings of UN (and support the participation of national forestry representatives in these meetings).
6. A coordinated policy approach on tertiary education in forestry is necessary to develop expertise in related aspects of integrated forest management including accessing and management of future forest carbon finance.
7. REDD+readiness role in adaptation in small island countries
• SPC to assist smaller island countries in their efforts to benefit from REDD+ readiness processes.

• SPC to assist in the integration of small island countries REDD+ concerns in the preparation for international negotiations

B. **Other Recommendations related to REDD+**

i. To enhance cooperation and collaboration between development partners especially those already active in the Pacific Region with support to REDD+ on national and regional level like EU, GIZ, JICA and UN REDD (UNDP and FAO)

ii. Voluntary market trading by private forest enterprises should be regulated and endorsed by national REDD+ or Climate Change bodies.
### Draft Programme

**PACIFIC REGIONAL FORESTRY TECHNICAL MEETING, 21-23 SEPTEMBER 2011, NADI, FIJI**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>LEAD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 1: Wednesday 21st September 2011</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.00 – 8.30</td>
<td>Registration</td>
<td>Bale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.30</td>
<td>Devotion</td>
<td>Rev. Lai Tora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Official Opening</td>
<td>Inoke Ratukalou (SPC – LRD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Election of Chairperson and other officials</td>
<td>Plenary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.45 – 10.10</td>
<td>Group Photo &amp; TEA B R E A K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Session 1 – Regional Framework on REDD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>LEAD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.10 – 10.30</td>
<td>Presentation + discussions of outcomes of the Pre-meeting REDD+ workshop</td>
<td>Ben Vickers (UN REDD Consultant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.30 – 11.00</td>
<td>Introduction to Regional REDD+ framework</td>
<td>Sairusi Bulai (SPC – LRD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.00 – 11.30</td>
<td>Regional information + knowledge management services</td>
<td>Vinesh Prasad (SPC - LRD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.30 – 1.00</td>
<td>Group Discussion (break out into 3 groups) Topics to discuss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.) Regional REDD+ Policy Framework content</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.) Regional REDD+ framework roadmap and steering structure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.) Design of Regional REDD information + knowledge management system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00 – 2.00</td>
<td>L U N C H BREAK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00 – 3.00</td>
<td>Presentation of group work and discussion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00 – 3.30</td>
<td>Construction of biomass Allometric models for Pacific Island Countries</td>
<td>Hitofumi Abe (SPC/JICA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.30 – 4.00</td>
<td>Afternoon Tea</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.00 - 4.30</td>
<td>REDD+ recommendations of the Pacific Regional Forestry Technical Meeting to the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Day 2: Thursday 22nd September 2011

### Session 2 – Regional and Country Reports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>presenter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.30 – 9.00</td>
<td>SPC Reforms and future directions</td>
<td>Inoke Ratukalou (SPC – LRD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.00 – 9.25</td>
<td>Woodlot establishment and management in the Solomon Islands – Lessons learnt</td>
<td>Gordon Konairamo (Solomon Islands)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.50 – 10.15</td>
<td>New Forestry Initiatives in Samoa</td>
<td>Maturo Panini (Samoa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.15 – 10.30</td>
<td>Morning Tea</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Session 3 – Update on Regional Initiatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>presenter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.30 – 10.55</td>
<td>Tree seed centre – MTA</td>
<td>Cenon Padolina (SPC – LRD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.55 – 11.20</td>
<td>Strengthening Capacities on Tree Seed Technologies in the PICs</td>
<td>Bronwyn Clarke (CSIRO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.20 – 11.45</td>
<td>Increasing Agriculture Commodity Trade (IACT)</td>
<td>Lex Thomson (SPC – LRD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.10 – 12.35</td>
<td>Harmonised SFM - Monitoring, Assessment and Reporting system in the PIC</td>
<td>Jalesi Mateboto (SPC – LRD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.35 – 1.00</td>
<td>Vegetation Mapping in the Pacific Atoll islands</td>
<td>Wolf Forstreuter (SPC – SOPAC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00 – 2.00</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00 – 2.25</td>
<td>Global Environment Fund – Pacific Alliance for Sustainability (GEF PAS)</td>
<td>Aru Mathias (FAO)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Session 4 – Opportunities for Forestry in the region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>presenter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.25 – 2.50</td>
<td>Enhancing trade in forest products</td>
<td>Lex Thomson (SPC – LRD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.50 – 3.15</td>
<td>Potential of biomass energy in the Pacific Islands – a forestry perspective</td>
<td>Hitofumi Abe (SPC/JICA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.15 – 3.30</td>
<td>Afternoon Tea</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.30 – 3.55</td>
<td>Forestry and Education</td>
<td>Makelesi Bulikiobo-Batimala (Live &amp; Learn )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.55 – 4.30</td>
<td>ACP FORENET</td>
<td>Prof Simon Saulei</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Day 3: Friday 23rd September 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Speaker(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.30 – 12.30</td>
<td><strong>Session 5 - International Phytosanitary Standards on Forestry</strong></td>
<td>Shiroma Sathyapala (MAFF Biosecurity NZ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.30 – 1.30</td>
<td><strong>LUNCH - BREAK</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.30 – 2.30</td>
<td><strong>Session 6 - HOFs going forward</strong></td>
<td>Aru Mathias (FAO)/Sairusi Bulai (SPC – LRD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.30 – 3.00</td>
<td>Drafting of meeting recommendation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00 – 3.15</td>
<td>Afternoon Tea</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.15 – 3.45</td>
<td>Drafting of meeting recommendation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.45 – 4.15</td>
<td>Endorsement of meeting recommendation/report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.15 – 4.30</td>
<td>Closing of the 2011 Forestry Technical Meeting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Annex 6

Workshop Report: Pacific Regional Approach to REDD+ Readiness

SPC/GIZ, JICA and UN-REDD Collaborative Workshop in association with the Pacific Regional Heads of Forestry Technical Meeting
19-20 Sept 2011, Nadi, Fiji

Key Messages

- As a result of the workshop, forestry officials from smaller PICs feel better equipped to participate effectively in regional and international discussions on REDD+ and climate change. They feel confident enough to articulate their needs and priorities in the context of REDD+ Readiness.

- Larger PICs appreciate their role as regional leaders on the topic of REDD+, understand the needs and interests of their smaller neighbours and the mutual benefits of moving forward as a region, while pursuing national REDD+ Readiness programmes at their own pace.

- All countries in the region recognize the benefits of a knowledge support platform and access to improved tools and skills for monitoring, that a regional approach to REDD+ Readiness can deliver.

Workshop Process

The workshop progressed through the following stages:

A common starting point for discussions: An introduction to the concepts of REDD+ and REDD+ Readiness, accompanied by distribution of the UN-REDD report ‘Regional REDD+ Readiness Support Strategy for the Pacific’.

Update on national level REDD+ Readiness programmes in the Pacific: Progress reports from Fiji, PNG, Solomon Islands and Vanuatu, and forest cover change detection by SOPAC.

Perspectives on civil society involvement in REDD+ Readiness programmes: Provided by CSOs from Fiji, PNG and the Philippines.

Update on technical and financial assistance for REDD+ Readiness in the Pacific: Provided by GIZ, JICA and UN-REDD.

A framework for visualizing the benefits of a regional approach to REDD+ Readiness: A summary of the UN-REDD report and a briefing on possible regional approaches to MRV from FAO.
Group discussions and presentations on a regional approach: Separating larger and smaller PICs into separate groups to analyse the UN-REDD report, regional forestry issues for the region (including regional drivers of forest loss and degradation) and the potential for regional REDD+ Readiness to address these issues for the benefit of all PICs.

Outcomes of group discussions

Feedback on UN-REDD report
Participants gave a general endorsement of the report’s structure, approach and framework, with the following provisos:

- The cultural bond between Pacific island people and their land should be reflected more prominently
- The importance of REDD+ to land use planning was not fully addressed
- More practical suggestions would be welcome on ways for smaller countries and local people to access benefits from REDD+ Readiness
- The broad scope of the report is clear and useful, but it lacks detail.

The report has clearly stimulated a desire for more in-depth regional studies and discussions on the benefits of a regional approach to REDD+ Readiness.

Regional drivers of deforestation and forest degradation
The larger PICs (Melanesian countries with national REDD+ Readiness programmes) discussed common regional drivers of forest loss and degradation and highlighted the following gaps in addressing these drivers:

- A regional response to forest governance issues is required:
  - Forest land tenure systems in the Pacific are unique to the region, and should be discussed at a regional level to encourage sharing of ideas and experiences, although forest governance issues must ultimately be addressed directly at national and local levels only.
  - Demarcation of forest land is essential for REDD+ and is important for effective forest governance and management in all contexts.
- Drivers within and between countries in the Pacific may be addressed at the regional level:
  - Demographic changes such as population growth and population movements have significant impacts on patterns of deforestation and degradation. Migration between countries in the region is now more common and its effects must be addressed at the regional level
  - Demand for resources within the region, including for agricultural land and construction materials, is growing. Displacement of forest emissions (leakage) between countries is therefore an important consideration for REDD+ programmes across the region.
- Drivers which originate outside the Pacific region should be addressed through concerted regional efforts:
  - Market demand for new cash crops, including tree crops such as oil palm, particularly for biofuels, will be an increasingly important driver for deforestation.
  - Demand in key Asian markets for raw materials and natural resources continues to encourage clearance of forest land for mining operations across the region.

Forest issues of specific concern to smaller countries
Group work among representatives of smaller PICs highlighted the following additional issues:
• Vegetation mapping: To meet the needs of smaller countries in monitoring coconut areas and small forest blocks, more accurate remote sensing technology is required
• Management skills of local people: Sustainable use of forest resources is hampered by lack of skills and training for local landowners
• Coastal zone protection: Mangroves have traditionally been managed and maintained as a means of disaster risk reduction; an important approach for effective adaptation to climate change
• Invasive species control: Loss of local biodiversity and some local subsistence products through the spread of invasive species is a key problem for small island states. PICs need access to financial resources to address this problem.
• Protection strategies: Protection of forest areas for watershed management and biodiversity conservation requires continuous negotiation with local rights-holders.

How can a Regional REDD+ Readiness approach help?

At the regional level, PICs all demonstrate strong support for the following:

**Regional training facility**
- With an emphasis on forest monitoring and inventory, including remote sensing technology
- To build up a pool of skilled forest technicians, to enhance and share capacities throughout the Pacific region
- To generate information and expertise which is specifically relevant to the Pacific

**Regional REDD+ knowledge platform**
- An open access service which will benefit all Pacific Island Countries and Territories
- Managed and governed by PICs (through regional agencies), not by donors
- To include actual, as well as virtual, interaction

**Regional forest assessment information centre**
- To facilitate access to, and sharing of, satellite imagery

A Regional REDD+ Readiness approach that focuses on knowledge and skills development benefits the whole region. Larger countries benefit by directly applying the lessons to their national REDD+ Readiness programmes. Moreover, the more that smaller countries are aware of ongoing REDD+ activities, the better they will be able to decide whether and how to get involved.

**Engagement of smaller countries in regional REDD+ discussions**
Broader involvement of PICs in REDD+ debate is desirable for all, including for larger countries looking for regional support for their political stance on REDD+ at international negotiations. In order to achieve this inclusiveness, a regional REDD+ Readiness approach should address the following:

- Raise global awareness of coconuts as a forestry issue. This is the dominant vegetation type in many smaller PICs. Specific issues that need to be addressed for coconuts include:
  - Classification of coconuts as forests is not universally accepted. It is a matter for individual countries whether to define coconut areas as forests in Forest Resource Assessments to FAO. However, a consistent regional position, defining coconut as a forest type, would benefit the interests of smaller countries.
  - Allometric equations for coconut areas
Finer satellite imagery is required to distinguish coconuts from other forest areas and thus to monitor changes within these areas. This finer imagery would have a wide range of other uses, particularly in land use planning.

- Bring foresters to the forefront of REDD+ discussions in the region. The lack of discussion of REDD+ at regional fora, for example at pre-COP climate change briefings facilitated by SPREP, is partly due to the lack of forestry knowledge among negotiators, particularly those from smaller countries.
- Promote REDD+ as a climate change adaptation strategy. Usually described as a mitigation strategy, REDD+ is more accurately an example of a dual strategy – both mitigation and adaptation. In the Pacific, the application of REDD+ strategic discussions to mangrove areas, in particular, would address the adaptation-focused priorities of smaller countries in the region.

A regional approach should provide guidance for smaller PICs on the opportunities for forest sector support through REDD+ Readiness. It is understood that this support needs to be sought, initially through active involvement in design of the regional REDD+ platform and roadmap under the SPC/GIZ project Climate Protection through Forest Conservation in Pacific Island Countries. The Pacific Regional Heads of Forestry Technical meeting, held immediately after this workshop on 21-23 September 2011, reflected the priorities stated above in the planning of a regional REDD+ roadmap.